

#### DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

#### UNITED STATES COAST GUARD ommandant (G-RER)

U. S. Coast Guard Washington, D.C. 20593 (202) 426-1223

• COMDTINST M3501.22

MAILING ADDRESS

JAN 24 1986

COMMANDANT INSTRUCTION M3501.22

Subj: WPB Readiness Assessment Decision Aids

Ref:

- (a) COMDTINST M3501.2 (Series), Unit Status and Identity Report (UNITREP) for Coast Guard Units
- (b) COMDTINST M3502.4 (Series), Cutter Training and Qualification Manual
- (c) NWP 10-1-11 (Series), Unit Status and Identity Report (UNITREP)
- (d) COMDTINST M3501.3 (Series), Casualty Reporting Procedures (MATERIEL)
- (e) COMDTINST C3501.5 (Series), Required Operational Capabilities (ROC)/Projected Operational Environment (POE) statement for the WPB class
- 1. PURPOSE. The purpose of this instruction is to provide a set of decision aids to be used for assessing individual ship readiness. These decision aids have been especially tailored for the WPB class cutter. When used in conjunction with existing instructions, references (a) through (e), these decision aids should greatly improve the quality and consistency of readiness information contained in the UNITREP system. The decision aids are intended to provide guidlines which should simplify the UNITREP process. UNITREP writers need consult only those aids which have been prepared for the resource-specific area in an affected primary mission.

	DIST	RIB	UTIC	N - S	DLN	lo. 12	22																			
	а	σ	u	a	е	f	g	h	i		k		٤	2	0	Ω	g	r	S	t	٦	٧	W	×	У	Z
A									1	1																
В		4	1*																							
C																										
D																										
Ε																										
F																										
G													<u> </u>						<u> </u>							
Н							<u> </u>	<u> </u>		Ì	<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>	L							<u> </u>	Ĺ		L		

NON-STANDARD DISTRIBUTION: \* LESS CCGD2, CCGD9

FOR OFFICAL USE ONLY "Public availability to be determined under 5 U.S.C. 552 and 552a."

#### COMDTINST M3501.22

#### JAN 24 1986

2. DISCUSSION. The decision aids are linked with the Required Operational Capabilities (ROC)/Projected Operational Environment (POE) statement for the WPB class cutter, reference (e). The decision aids will be amended as mission responsibilities are changed.

#### 3. ACTION.

- a. Commanding officers, officers-in-charge, and UNITREP writers shall review this instruction to become familiar with the assessment methodologies and criteria in each resource-specific area, e.g., PERSONNEL, EQUIPMENT/SUPPLIES on hand, EQUIPMENT, and TRAINING.
- b. Commanding officers are to use the appropriate decision aid(s) for preparation of the resource-specific area assessments for each mission area reported in UNITREP.

Romania de Lacdiness

A.D. Dreet

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Title Letter of Pr	nomulantion .			Page
Table of Cor	ntents ision Aids			
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION			1 – 1
	B. Applicabil C. Reporting	lity	and	1 – 1
CHAPTER 2	GENERAL INFORMA	ATION		2-1
	Guidelines	s		2 <b>-</b> 1
	E. Equipment. C. Personnel. Special Cr	riteria		2-2 2-2 2-2
	Mission-Es D. Training E. Supply	Personnel ssential Perso ons for Submit	nnel	2 - 3
	Recommenda	etions		2-3
CHAPTER 3		CECISION AIDS		
	E. How to Use C. Explanation	the Aids on of Terms adiness Assess		3 <b>-</b> 1

# LIST OF DECISION AIDS

## Figure

quipmen	ersonn	raining	upply	quipmen	ersonne	rainin	upply	quipmen	ersonne	raining	upply	quipmen	ersonne	raining	upply	quipmen	ersonne	raining	upply	quipmen	ersonne	raining	upply	quipmen	ersonn	Training	v i qqu
G	O	$\mathbf{c}$	Ö	0	O	$\circ$	O	G	O	O	$\odot$	C	$\mathcal{O}$	$\circ$	O	니	-1	-1	-1	₩	∢;	<ζ,	<1,	ပ	$\mathcal{O}$	NCO	$\circ$
1	1	ı	i	ı	1	ı	- 1	6	-	<u></u>	7	7	<u></u>	-	-	<b>T</b>	<u></u>	-	7	7	N	Ŋ	$\overset{\mathbf{I}}{\sim}$	7	7	3-27	<b>1</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

### Table

3-1 Terms and explanations

#### CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION

A. <u>Purpose</u>. The purpose of this document is to provide a set of decision aids to be used in assessing individual cutter readiness. These decision aids have been provided to improve the quality and consistency of readiness information reported in the Unit Status and Identity Report (UNITREP).

These instructions supplement the requirements and procedures published in the UNITREP instructions, references (a) and (c). Careful attention to the basic instructions, plus objective answers to the questions contained in each decision aid, will improve the accuracy and consistency of each cutter's readiness assessments.

- B. Applicability. The specific decision aids have been designed to assist personnel assigned to the WPB class cutter in determining the readiness assessments that must be reported in their UNITREPs. These decision aids cover the Equipment, Personnel, Supply and Training resource areas as they relate to the cutter's Coast Guard and naval warfare primary missions.
- C. Reporting Resource Area and Mission Area Readiness. The decision aids are designed to allow measurement of both naval warfare and Coast Guard mission readiness. The decision aids have been constructed to allow the commanding officer to measure each resource area in relation to each primary mission area. The decision aids take into account the different resource area requirements for each primary mission. It is important to note that the UNITREP writer need not review the entire set of decision aids each time a UNITREP is prepared; only the applicable decision aid must be reviewed.

#### CHAPTER 2. GENERAL INFORMATION

#### A. General.

- 1. <u>Guidelines</u>. The decision aids were produced under the following guidelines:
  - a. Construct a decision aid for each primary mission/resource area combination applicable to the cutter.
  - b. Link each decision aid with the Required Operational Capabilities (ROC/Projected Operational Environment (POE) Statements (COMDTINST C3501.5 (Series)) for the WPB class cutter.
  - c. Separate Coast Guard mission reporting from naval warfare mission reporting.
  - d. Provide objective criteria for deriving resource-specific ratings.
- 2. Format. During their construction, the decision aids were standardized so that:
  - a. All questions associated with a particular mission-resource area combination appear in a decision aid.
  - b. Questions concerning minimum standards appear first on the left of each decision aid; "YES" answers to the questions will guide the user towards a better readiness rating.
  - In both the MOB and CCC areas there is an overlap between Coast Guard missions and naval warfare missions (CGM and CGX/MOB and CCC respectively). Essentially the cutter must be able to carry out its CG missions in order to complete its naval warfare missions. Example: A cutter's capability to perform in the Coast Guard's Mobility (CGM) missions is determined from the various CGM Resource-specific decision aids. These same resources are required to perform the naval warfare mobility (MOB) mission plus additional resources. Therefore the MOB decision aids begins with the question of what was the CGM rating and then asks questions concerning the additionally required resources. Note: A cutter's rating in a resource-specific area of MOB will never be higher than its corresponding CGM resourcespecific area rating. It will always be equal to or lower than the CGM resource-specific area rating.
  - d. When determining the capability of the cutter in any other assigned mission, that mission should be considered on its own. The sum of the capabilities will determine the overall unit capability and ultimate readiness ratings.

and the second second

- 2.B. Equipment. Decision aids for the Equipment resource area highlight the systems and equipment that are essential in providing the capabilities for the WPB class to perform its assigned primary Coast Guard and naval warfare missions. The aids assume redundancy of backup systems and equipment that are available to satisfy mission readiness requirements. The last question asks if the cutter is capable of attaining the next higher resourcespecific rating despite other existing equipment degradations. If this final question is answered "No", some equipment degradation unrelated to the existing decision aid questions is causing the inability to reach a higher resource-specific rating. The reason for that inability therefore must be reflected by an explanation or justification in Part II of the UNITREP.
- C. Personnel. Coast Guard primary mission area decision aids are based on the current Personnel Allowance List(PAL). Naval warfare primary mission area decision aids are based on the Personnel Allowance List(PAL) plus the Wartime Personnel Allowance List (WPAL) which list the billets regular and reserve agumentees are scheduled to fill upon mobilization. The use of CG Enlisted Qualification Codes within the aids has been based upon the need for those particularly qualified personnel that are cited in both the PAL and WPAL. These aids will allow the commanding officer to evaluate the personnel resource area in relation to the required personnel for both Coast Guard and naval warfare missions. The evaluation of Coast Guard missions will normally reflect only the regularly assigned personnel. The evaluation for naval warfare primary missions will include the regularly assigned personnel plus the regular and reserve augmentees that are identified by rating, rate, name and qualification codes that are scheduled to report upon mobilization. Each commanding officer will have to determine "how available" each augmentee will be. The term "on board or available" within the decision aid is defined in paragraph 4.9.2 of reference (c).
  - 1. <u>Special Criteria</u>. The following subparagraphs describe some of the special criteria used in preparing the questions for the Personnel decision aids.
    - Enlisted Personnel. On each Personnel decision aid, the leading question asks, "In the aggregate, are at least \_\_\_\_\_% of mission essential personnel on board or available?". Such questions assess the percentage of the overall total of the personnel within all of the ratings indicated in the Personnel Allowance List (PAL).

- 2.C.1.b. Mission-Essential Personnel. Unspecified shortages of mission-essential personnel may prevent the cutter from attaining a particular resource-specific rating. As a general rule, commanding officers/officers-in-charge should consider all authorized personnel as essential in all WPB mission areas. Each Personnel decision aid allows the commanding officer to degrade his Personnel resourcespecific rating if, in his opinion, the cutter lacks certain unspecified mission-essential personnel. If those shortages are not sufficiently acute to cause a "NO" answer, the user can continue to follow the questions until the appropriate resource-specific rating has been determined. If however, some personnel shortage unrelated to specific decision aid questions is causing the inability to reach a higher resource-specific rating, the reason for that inability must be reflected by an explanation or justification included in Part II of the UNITREP.
- D. Training. Decision aids for the Training resource area are based upon the mission specific required exercises addressed in Chapter 4 of COMDTINST M3502.4 (Series). The amount of elasped time since a given exercise was last successfully conducted is compared with the frequency requirement specified for that exercise.
- E. <u>Supply</u>. Decision aids for the Supply resource area address two factors: (1) the need to express mission essential spares, fuel, provisions, parts and ordnance in relation to the percentages given in the current UNITREP instruction; and (2) the need to relate spares and parts in terms of the requirements specified in the cutter's allowance lists. The Supply decision aids compares the percentages of mission essential equipment and supplies actually on board with established levels.
- F. <u>Instructions for Submitting Recommendations</u>. All commands are encouraged to submit changes, via the chain of command, to Commandant (G-RER-1).

Thursday 1 1 1 2 2 62

#### CHAPTER 3. SPECIFIC DECISION AIDS AND INSTRUCTIONS FOR USE

A. <u>General</u>. The decision aids included in this Chapter are intended to be employed for determining the resource-specific ratings that are entered on the UNITREP Worksheet. These ratings form the basis for determining primary naval warfare mission M-ratings, naval warfare resource-area C-ratings, overall C-rating (CROVL), primary Coast Guard mission M-ratings, Coast Guard mission resource-area M-ratings, and the Coast Guard overall readiness M-rating (CGC).

The following subchapter provides a guide for using these aids. Subchapter 3.C. explains certain terms and usages and Subchapter 3.D. contains a sample situation utilizing a specific cutter decision aid for the Coast Guard Mobility (CGM) mission area.

This instruction contains the specific decision aids designed and constructed for WPB class cutter.

B. How to Use the Aids. First, refer to the List of Aids (page ii). From this list, select a decision aid, locate it within this chapter and review the methodology of using the system.

To determine a resource-specific rating to be entered on the UNITREP Worksheet, follow the general procedures listed below:

- 1. Each Decision Aid was created with a list of mission essential equipment, mission essential personnel, essential personnel training requirements (Qual Codes, etc.,) and other applicable criteria in question format listed in an index column down the left side of each aid.
- 2. A rating is determined for each item in the index by reading across the page from left to right and answering "YES" or "NO" to the minimum standard set in each rating column. When the minimum standard for a specific rating column can not be met, i.e., a question is answered with "NO", the item receives the rating specified for that column. If all minimum standards are met, i.e., all questions can be answered with "YES", across the page, that item would receive a rating of "1".
- 3. This procedure is then repeated until all questions in the index have been answered.
- 4. The resource-specific rating, applicable to each aid, is then determined by the worst (highest) item rating obtained. Additional questions that generate a "NO" answer should be considered when determining the overall readiness of the cutter and in determining the three letter degradation reason codes that must be reported.

- 3.C. Explanation of Terms. In order to remove some ambiguities certain terms found in the decision aids require explanation. These may be found in Table 3-1, Terms and Explanations, which follows.
- D. Sample Readiness Assessment Situation. The following situation illustrates the steps to follow when using the decision aids. It is important to reiterate that readiness in each resource area/primary mission combination, e.g., Equipment/Mobility, Personnel/Mobility, etc., is evaluated independently and without regard to any readiness degradations that might affect other resource area/primary mission combinations. In the sample situation that follows the resource-specific readiness rating for Personnel/Mobility will be assessed. The process begins with the selection of the CGM decision aid from among the personnel resource aids provided.
  - Step 1. Choose the appropriate aid for the mission and resource area being assessed.

    Assume we want to assess the personnel readiness in the Coast Guard Mobility mission area. The appropriate decision aid is CGM/Personnel. Remember that all assigned personnel are normally considered essential for all WPB missions.
  - Step 2. Beginning with question 1 read each question and answer "Yes" or "No" to the minimum standard in each rating column across the page from left to right.

    Assume the UNITREP writer has 85% of his authorized (PAL) crew on board. Answering question 1 would yield a "Yes" in column 3, a "Yes" in column 2 and a "No" in column 1.
  - Step 3. Record the appropriate rating in the column provided on the decision aid. Using the example in Step 2 the UNITREP writer will record a "2" in the appropriate column, since his answer was "No" to the minimum standard in column 1.
  - Step 4. Repeat Steps 2 and 3 for each question on the decision aid.
    - Note: Some minimum standards will be indicated using an asterisk and arrow technique. This occurs when the standard is an either/or situation. Either the standard is met which means a rating of "1" or it is not which means a "No" answer and the corresponding rating depending on the column in which the asterisk appears. (Either a 4, 3, or 2 rating depending on the asterisk being in column 3, 2, or 1 respectively).
  - Step 5. Determine the worst (highest) rating and record that rating on the UNITREP Worksheet.
    - Note: If the worst rating results from more than one question, the reason codes will be based on a criticality determination.

#### Table 3-1. TERMS AND EXPLANATIONS

#### TERM

"...operable?"

"... support mission requirements"

"Despite other existing equipment degradations..."

"In the aggregate..."

#### EXPLANATION

Equipment functions to PMS specifications or other maintenance doctrine as applicable.

Example: "Is the magazine sprinkler system operable?"

The equipment/system can perform functions which will assist the cutter in satisfying assigned ROCs.

Example: "Is at least one A/C plant operable in support of CCC mission requirements?"

Indicates that a significant number of minor equipment deficiencies may combine to have a degrading effect on mission readiness; may also be used to reflect degradations caused by the malfunctioning of unlisted systems or equipment.

Example: "Despite other existing equipment degradations can the cutter attain a resource-specific rating no worse than 2 in the CCC mission area?"

Indicates that the percentage assessment is to be based on the total "head count" from among the ratings listed in the personnel allowance list (PAL). The specified percentage may be calculated by dividing the sum of all personnel (on board or available) within the ratings listed by the total of personnel authorized the cutter.

#### Table 3-1. TERMS AND EXPLANATIONS (Continued)

#### TERM

#### EXPLANATION

Example: "In the aggregate, are at least \_\_\_\_\_% of mission essential personnel as listed in the Personnel Allowance List (PAL) on board or available?

"...on board or available?"

Personnel that are either physically on board or determined to be available following the criteria in paragraph 4.9.2 of reference (c).

Example: "Is at least one MK with QC 69 on board or available?"

"Despite other existing shortages...?"

Indicates that an accumulation of minor personnel deficiencies may degrade the cutter's capability to perform in the mission area.

Example: "Despite other existing shortages, can the cutter attain a resource-specific rating no worse than 3 in the ELT mission area?"

#### CGM EQUIPMENT

C	GM EQUIPMENT										
		14:	< NO	Y	ES >	131	< NO   Y	ES >	[2]	< NO   YES >   1	-
1	Is the vessels mobility	1				17			11		-
	restricted by structural	1		•		ii			iί		
	damage? **	1				ii			1 1		
2	Is/are at least main					11			1 1	· ·	_
	diesel engine(s) including	1 1				1 1			N:	;	
	clutch(s), reduction gear	1 1	1 ON	1 5	HAFT	1 1			A	ALL	
	(s) and adequate red gear	! !				1 1			1 1	1	
	L/O pressure, operable?	1 1				1 1			1 1	ł 1	
3	Is the Fuel Oil Xfer pump					1			TT		_
	operable? (95' only)	1		•						>	
Į,	Is/are at least SSDG's								IN	1	_
	operable?	1 3		1		1 1			A	2	
5	Is the main power panel								1 1		_
	openable?	1 1		•						>	
ć	Is the main electronics								TT		-
	panal operable?	1 1				1 1	*			> !	
7	Is the steering booster								1 1		_
	pump openable? *	1 1				1 1	*			>	
ξ	Are all steering cables	T 7							ŢŢ		_
_	functioning properly? *	1 1		+						> ;	
<u>è</u> _	Is the Gyro Compass	1				1 1			T		_
	operable? *	1 1				1 1	•			>	
10	Is the Magnetic compass	1 1									_
	properly compensated and	1 1		*							
	operable?	1 1				1 1			1 1	1	
11	Are the Nav Lights all					1 1			1 1	1	_
	operable?	1 1		+						>	_
12	Are the main fire pumps										
	openable? •	1 1		•						>!	_
13	Is the Snip's Whistle	1 :				1 1			1 1	i	
	openable?	1 1		+						>;	_
14	Is/are at least					1			1 1		
	hydraulic pump(s)/comp-			1				>	1 1	2	
	ressed air system and	1							1 1		
	accumulator flasks	1 1				1 1			1 1		
	operable?					1 1			1 1		_
15						1	*			>;	
	search radar operable?	<u> </u>				1 1			1 1	1	_
16							_		1 1		
	operable?	1				1 1	•			>	_
17		1 !					-		1 1	ļ	
	operable?	1				1 1	•			>	_
18		1									
	operable?	1				+ 1			1 1	•	_
19						; ;			: 1		
	necessary ground tackle	1 1								_	
	to safely anchor?					+ 1			1 1	•	_
20							-		1 1		
	operable?	; ;				<u> </u>	•			>	

## If yes, C-3 will be lowest rating that can be reported in UNITREP for the CGM mission.

(continued)

FIGURE 3-1 PAGE 1

#### (continued)

	•	14	< NO	YES >	3	< NO	YES >	[2]	< NO	YES	> [1
21	Is % of DC equipment										
	allowance available to	1 1		60	1	7	0	1 1		90	1
i	repair fire, flooding,	1 1			1 1			1			Ì
	electrical, structural,	1 1	1					1 }			}
	and hull damage?				1			<del>                                     </del>			<del></del>
22	Are 100% of life raft/boats			_	ŧ			ii			
1	onboard, fully outfitted,		•	•							-> }
1	and within required	! !			1			1 1			į
	inspection dates?	<u>;                                    </u>			<u> </u>	5		+ 1			<del></del>
23	IS FOOD SERVICE EQUIPMENT	1		ED MEAL	i		MEAL	i i			j
1	available to prepare		SERVI	CE	į	SERV	1CE	1 1			-2;
	sufficient meals?	1			<del>-</del>			+ +		<del></del>	<del></del>
27	Are all other CRIT Aux	1			i	i		j j			j i
	systems operable?	<u> </u>			<del>-</del>	<u> </u>		1 1			<del>-                                    </del>
25	Is/Are at least	1		_	i	i	_	1 1		2	i
	Electronic Navigation			1	ì	i	2	j i		3	1
	Recievers (LORAN-C, STANAV,	1			į	i		i i			1
	OMEGA) operable? *	<del>-</del>			<del>-</del>	i		+ +			-+
26	Is equipment available for	į			į	į		1 (		_	t 1
	obtaining celestial fixes?	<del>i</del>			+	i		+-1			+
27	Is the Depth Sounder	i	,    -		į	i		1 1			1
	operable?	<del>-</del>	<u> </u>		+-			+-		<u> </u>	-+
26 ;	Is the Doppler Speed Log	i	i		i	i		1 1			1
	operable? ••	į –			+-	i		+ -			
29	Despite other equipment	į	!	•	i	1	2	1 1	 	•	1
	degradations, can the ship	i	i I	5	1	1	۷	1 1	<b>)</b>	!	i
į	attain a resource-specific	į			i	i 1		1 1	1		1
1	rating no worse than in	1	i		1	i		i			1
	the CGM mission area? IF APPLICABLE	<u>i                                      </u>	i	·	<u>.i</u>	<u>i</u>		<u>i</u> .			<u>      i                              </u>

#### CGM/PERSONNEL

	14	YES > 3	<pre></pre>	2 <	NO YES >	_
In the aggregate, are at		1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1	1 10 1 100 /	+=+	NO 1 123 /	_
least % of mission		i	1	1 1		
essential personnel on	1	70	80	1 1	0.0	
board or available? (Based	1 1	10	1	1 1	90	
on PAL)			1	1 1		
f of assigned personnel	1 1		<del>                                     </del>	<del>- i - i -</del>		_
are PQS qualified in their	† : 1 :	1	1			
specific billet assignment	1	;	-			
ito fight fires, control	1 1	55	70	1 1	85	
Iflooding, and repair		1	1			
electrical, structural and	1-1	i i		1 1		
!hull damage?		1		1 1		
<pre>1 1 of assigned bridge and</pre>	1	-		T		
engineroom waterstanders are	!	55	1 7 C	1 ;	85	
!PQS qualified?	I :	1 1	1	1 !		
Is there at least one	1		1			
graduate of Synchro Amps/	i i	1	*			>
MK-27 Gyro](EM-20, course	1			1 1		
ch Board? •	1		1	1 1		
Is there at least one	: 1					
igraduate of Engineering	1 : 1 :	1	*			>
Department Admin (MK-1)				! }		
course on board?	1			1 1		
ils there at least one	1	1				
graduate of Hydraulic		i	•			>
Systems  MH-6   course	. 1					
on board?			1			
ls/are there at least	1 1	i	i			
(graduate(s) of Engine		,		>	2	
[Governors (MK-7] ocurse	i ;	į.	1	1 1		
on board?			<u> </u>			
Is/are there at least	i i	i	i		_	
graduate(s) of GM=149	 	\		>	2	
diesels course on board?		<del></del>	<u> </u>			
Is/are there at least  graduate(s) 8V92 diesel	1 1	,	i	ii	_	
				<b>&gt;</b> ; ;	2	
engines ocurse on board? •• Is there at least one	<del>                                     </del>	<del></del>	i .	<del>-   -  </del>		_
Is there at least one		i	4 1 <b>a</b>	i i		Į.
P-250 portable emergency		-			;	'
pump operator and		1	1			
maintenance (A-495-2037		1	!	1 1		
course on board?		1	1	1 1		
Is there at least one	<del></del>			+		_
Igraduate of Gas Free				1 1		`
Engineering (A-495-0051)		1	!	1 1	;	,
course on board?		- 1	!	1 1		
Despite other existing		<del>-</del>		++-		_
shortages, can the ship	į		!	! !		
attain a resource-specific	1	3 1	2		1	ı
rating no worse than	;				1	+
in the CGM mission area?		!		1 1		i

FIGURE 3+2 PAGE 1

#### CCM/TRAINING

CGM/TRAINING						
	74;	< NC   YES >	13	< NO   YES >	121	< NO   YES > 1
At least of the following exercises were successfully completed within the specified timeframe:		8		10		12
ANNUALLY  1. Z-110-E, Full Power						
Trial SEMI-ANNUALLY						
2. CG-1-N Piloting (Magnetic) 3. BECCES at COND IV ** 4. CG-12-D, Darken Snip 5. Main Space Fire						
QUAPTERLY	1					
6. CG-5-N, Anchoring 7. CG-7-N, Piloting, (LowVis) 8. C3-4-S, Mooring 9. CG-11-S, Man Overboard 10. CG-14-S, Abandon Ship 11. CG-10-D, Mat. Condition 12. CG-12-D, Darken Ship 13. BDCEs at COND I	1					
Following a CGM training resource-specific area degradation because of failure of an operational portion of a district inspection, facility manager inspection, or refresher training; has the unit successfully completed exercises to justify a higher rating?	1	8		10		12

<sup>\*\*</sup>NOTE: Refer to COMDTINST M3502.4A (Series) for a list of BECCE, and BDCE required drills.

FIGURE 3-3 PAGE 1

#### CGM/SUPPLY

		Ţ <u>1</u> ;	< NC	YES >	13	< NO YES >	2	< NO YES >	. [1
1	Is at least f of total	ļ	i		1				
	useable diesel fuel	-	l I	50	1 1	60	1 1	75	- 1
	capacity on board?	1	1		1		1 1		1
2	Is at leastf of	1			1				
	engineering CALMS spares	1	! !	65	1 1	80	1 1	90	- 1
	for CGM equipment on board	1	1		1		1 1		
_	or available?	1	 		1 1		1 1		- 1
3	Is at least % of total	1	1			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
1	potable water capacity	1	1	<b>5</b> 0	1	60	1	75	- }
	or board?	1	) 		1 1		1 1		
4	Is at least% of	1			1		1		T
	engineering tools and test	i	! !	65	1 1	80	1	90	1
	equipment for CGM on board	1	l 1		1 1				1
	<u>  on available?</u>	I i	! !		1 1		1		1
5	Is at least days of	-							
i	lutricant supplies onboard?		!	1	1 :	3	1 1	5	
ć ;	Is at least days				1 1		1		
1	provisions on board?	1	l I	1	1	3	1	5	:
7 7	Despite parts related		i i				1	<del></del>	1
i	CASREFS, can the ship	ŀ	† 	<b>*</b>					>
1	perform in the CGM mission?		l I		1 1		1		1

FIGURE 3-4 PAGE 1

#### MOB/EQUIPMENT

		14	~ <	NC.	ΥE	\$ >	131	<b>~</b>	CM	YES	>	121	< N	0	YES	> 1.1
1   Wha	t is the CGM equipment					-				_		1 1		_		į
	ing?	1 1			3		- 1			2		$\downarrow \downarrow$		1		<del></del>
2 Is	f of repair locker				60		1 1			7.0				Q	n .	į
	equipment onboard?	+			<b>0</b> 0		+++			70		++			<u> </u>	<del></del>
	<pre>\$ of RADIAC allowance board and calibrated?</pre>	i i			60					70		1 1		9	0	
deg	pite other equipment radations, can the ship	1 1			3		1 1		;	2				1		i
	ain a resource-specific ing no worse than in	1										1 1				!
	MOE mission area?	1 1						<u> </u>								

#### MOB/PERSONNEL

	•	14	< NC	YES	> 11	31	< NO	YES >	12	< NO	YES >	11
1.	What is the CGM rating?			3		I	ã	?			1	
2	In the aggregate, are at	, ,				Т						1 1
- 1	least % of mission	1 1			- 1	-			-	] 	•	1 1
1	essential personnel on	1 1		70		1	8	30	- 1	1	90	1 1
1	board or available? (Based	1 1				1			1	1		1 1
}	on WPAL)	1	}			-			- 1	1		1 1
3	f of assigned personnel are PQS qualified in their							2-		1 1 1	·-	1 I
	specific billet assignment for CBF defense?	1 1		55		1	7	0	1	1	85	1 1
4 ,	Despite other existing					1						1
	shortages, can the ship	1 1		3	1	ļ	2	2	- }	1	1	1 1
1	attain a resource-specific	: :			ŀ	1			- 1	1		1 3
ł	rating no worse than	1 1	i		;	1			- }	1		ì
1	in the MOB mission area?	1 1			<u> </u>	1			- 1	1		

MOB/TRAINING	TI:	< NO   YES >	13	< NO   YES >	2	< NO   YES >
1   What is the CGM training   rating?	1	3		2		1
2 At least of the following exercises were successfully completed within the specified timeframe:  SEMI-ANNUALLY  1. CG-52-D, NUCLEAR Detonation 2. CG-54-D, BIC/CHEM Attack		1		N/A>		2
Following a MOB training resource-specific area degradation because of failure of an operational portion of a district inspection, facility manager inspection, or refresher training; has the unit successfully completed exercises to justify a higher rating?	i¦	1		N/A>		2

<sup>\*</sup> NOTE: Refer to COMDITINST M3502.4A (Series) for a list of BECCE, ECCE and BDCE required drills.

#### MOB/SUPPLY

	4:	<	NO	T	YES	>	3 :	~	NO	-{	YES	>	2	<	NO	Y	ES	>	11:
1 What is the rating for CGM	1												17						
supply?	1 1			3			!			2			; ;			1			1 1
5 Despite parts related	1																		
CASREPS, can the ship	1 1			٠.														->	1
perform in the MOB mission?							1						: :						i

#### CGX/EQUIPMENT

CG	X/EQUIPMENT									
	•	. 4	~	NO	YE	:S >	131	< NO YES >	12	< NO YES > 1
3 :	Is the UHF transceiver								1	
1	system operable? (AN/URC-9						1 1	+		>
i	on 95'/82' : AN/WSC-3 on						1		!	! !!
i	Island Class)						1		i	i i
2 !	Is/Are at least 100 Watt						<del>i</del> i		<del>!                                    </del>	
-	HF Transceiver(s) operable?						; ;	1	•	2
- !	(Sunair GSB-900)						1 1	,	ļ .	- :
<del>5 +</del>	Is/Are at least VHF-FM						+-		÷	<del></del>
١ -	transceiver(s) and antenna	: !					1 1	. 1	1	2
		1 1					1 1		1	
1	system(s) operable?	-					+ 1		+	<del> </del>
4 ;	Is/Are at least100 Watt	1 1					1 1		1	2
•	HF Coupler(s) and antenna	i					1 1	l l	İ	
i	system(s) operable?						į		i	i i i
	(Sumair GCU-935) *	1					<del></del>		+	
5	Is the TSEC/KY-58 crypto						i .	_	i	
1	device operable? (future						1 1	*		
	installation)	;					<u> </u>			
6	Is the TSEC/KY-75 crypto	1					-		i	1
- 1	device operable? (future						1 1	•		
1	installation)	1 1					1		!	
7 1	Is the S/R teletype system						Ī		1	
1	operable? (Island class	1 1					1 !	*		
į	only, AN/SGC-12)	1					i i	 	-	1 1
Ε .	Is the ROP teletype system						1		T	
- 1	operable? (95' Class only,	1 1					-	*		$\rightarrow$
	AN/SGC-2)						1		ļ	1 1
<u>a</u>	Is the AIMS MK XII IFF						1		Ţ	
	(AN/UPX-28 transponder						i	*	·	
- 1	only) operable?						1			
10	Is/are at least	<del>! -</del>					+		!	<del></del>
10	frequency shift	1					ŀ		į.	
	converter(s) operable?	1	1				1	1	1	2
1		1	'				ŀ	! !	1	
	(Island class only,	1 1	l I				1	 	1	1 1
	CV-3883/UG)	1	<u> </u>				+	<del> </del>	+-	+
- 44	Is the frequency shift	i I I					i		i	1
į	converter operable? (95'	<b>i</b> i					i			
	class only, CV-3883/UG)	<del>.</del>					<del>\</del>		<del>- j</del>	<del></del>
12	Is the A/C plant operable?	į					<del></del>	·	<del> </del> -	<del></del>
13	Is the AN/SPS 64 surface		į				į	<u> </u>	i	
	search radar operable?						<u> </u>	*		
14	Despite other equipment	1					1		1	1
ŀ	degradations, can the ship	-	ŀ				1		-	
1	attain a resource-specific				3		1	2	1	1 1 1
ļ ģ	rating no worse than	ŀ	I I				i		1	
1	in the CGX mission area?	1   L					1	i	1	1_1

• IF APPLICABLE

FIGURE 3-9 PAGE 1

#### CGX/PERSONNEL

	14 (	<	ΝO	- 1	YES	>	131	<	NO		YES	>	[2]	<	NO		YES	>	11
In the aggregate, are at least for mission essential personnel on board or available?  (Based on PAL)				70						80	·					90			
Despite other existing shortages, can the ship attain a resource-specific rating no worse than in the CGX mission area?				3						2		<del></del>				1			\$ 1 t 1 t 1 t 1 t 1 t 1 t 1 t 1 t 1 t 1

#### CGX/TRAINING

CGI/IRAIRING	141	~	NΟ	-	YES	>	131	< N	0 1	YES	>	2	<	NO	Ţ	YES	>	11
At least of the following exercises were successfully completed within the specified timeframe:			•	1					2						3			
SEMI-ANNUALLY  1. CG-30-C, Admin Voice 2. CG-103-C, H0-102 3. CG-104-C, Sound Powered Phones								-										
Pollowing a CGX training resource-specific area degradation because of failure of an operational portion of a district inspection, facility manager inspection, or refresher training; has the unit successfully completed exercises to justify a higher rating?				1					2	2					3			

#### CGI/SUPPLY

	141 4	( NO	YES	> 13	1 4	NO	YES	> 2	< NO	YES	>	<u>1</u>
1   Despite parts related	T-1-				1			i			1	- 1
CASREPS, can the ship	1 1		*								>	1
perform in the CGX mission?	1 1			<u> </u>	-				!			_!

#### CCC/EQUIPMENT

	14	<	ΝO	I	YES	>	3	<	NO	T	YES	>	2	<	NO		YES	>	11
1   What is the CGX equipment   rating?				3						2				,		1			
<pre>Is the ship capable of     conducting visual     communications?     (flashing light, semaphore,     or flag hoist)</pre>																**			
Despite other equipment degradations, can the ship attain a resource-specific rating no worse than in the CCC mission area?	1				3	. 1				2	2					1			

#### CCC/PERSONNEL

<pre>1 What is the CGX rating? 2 In the aggregate, are at     least</pre>	14		< N		Y E	S	> :	3	< N	2	YES	S >	2	<	NO	90	YES	>	1
3 Despite other existing shortages, can the ship attain a resource-specific rating no worse than in the CCC mission area?		1		3	}					2						1			

FIGURE 3-14 PAGE 1

#### CCC/TRAINING

	T41 < 1	O YES	5 >  3  <	NO YES	3 >  2  <	NO YE	S > [1]
1   What is the CGX training							
rating?		3	1 1	2	11	11	

#### CCC/SUPPLY

	141	< NO	YES	>  3	< NO	YES >	[2]	< NO	YES >	11
1   What is the rating for CGX										
supply?	1 1		3			2		1		!
2 Despite parts related	T						11			$\top$
CASREPS, can the ship	1 1									>
perform in the CCC mission?	1			1 1			1 1			1

#### ELT/EQUIPMENT

	T/EQUIPMENT	741	< NO	YES >	13	<	NO	YES	>	[2]	< NO	YE	S >
1	Is the AN/SPS-64 surface	1 1			1					1 1			
- {	search radar operable?	1 1						•					>
1	Is at least one night	1 1								T			
- 1	vision device operable?				,	1				1 1		• '	
7	Can the ship illuminate	1								1 1			
- ;	surface vessels at night	1 1								1		•	
-	by multi-directional	1								1			
1	searchlight?	1			1 1	ĺ				1 1			
:	Is the VHF-FM DF operable												
- 1	and calibrated?	1 1			1					1 1		•	
-	Is the HF DF calibrated	1 1								<del>1 1</del>			
1	and operable?	1			1 1					i i		•	
1	Is the unit's RHIB									11			
1	launchable, completely	1 1						·					>
ł	outfitted and fully	1 1			1					1 1			
ł	operational?	1 1								ii			
1	Are at least hand-held	1					•			<del>i i</del>			
,	radios operab <del>le?</del>	1 1						2					>
i	Can the ship fire warning									TT			
1	and disabling shots or	1 1											>
1	provide boarding party	1			1 1					1 1			
1	cover with machine guns?	1 1								1 1			
7	Are there sufficient small		<del></del>							1 1			
1	arms, associated ammo, and	İΪ			-					i i			
i	safety equipment to fully	1 1											>
1	outfit at least one full	1			1					1 1			
i	4-man Law Enforcement	1 1								1 1			
i	boarding party?	i (			-					1 1			
1	Despite other equipment	ŤŤ		-	1					<del>i i</del>			
i	degradations, can the ship	1		3				2		1 1		1	
i	attain a rescurce-specific			•	- [ ]			-		1 1			
į	rating no worse than in	1								1 1			
- ;	the ELT mission area?	1 1								1 1			

#### ELT/PERSONNEL

	1/1 ENDORREL															
		14	<	NO	7	(ES >	13	7	NO	YE	S >	121	< NO		YES	> 11
1	In the aggregate, are at	1					7					1 1		<u> </u>		<del>-                                     </del>
- 1	least % of mission						1					1 1				
1	essential personnel on	1			70		•	!		80		1 1		90		-
- 1	board or available?	i :			, -		1	į		••		11		, ,		i
1	(Based on PAL)	1														
2	Have all boat crew members	1					1	_				<del></del>				<del>- +</del>
- 1	completed unit boat crew	: :								ŧ		· · ·				
1	PQS standards?	1					1 1	i				1 1				-/:
3	Have all machine gun crew						<del>-  </del> -					+-+				<del>- +</del>
	members completed unit PQS	1					1 1			•		1 1				1
į	standards?	!					1 1	i				1 1				-/
4	Is/are there graduates	1					+	-				++				-+-
i	of Maritime Law Enforcement	, ,			1		1 1			2		1 1		2		1
	(MLE) school on board?	1 1			'		1 1			2		1 1		2		1
5	Has at least one boarding	<u> </u>					+-					+++				<del></del>
	party completed unit PQS	1 1					1 1	1				t t				i
- !	standards?	1 1					1 1									->;
7	Is there an MK trained	! !					+	<del></del> -		<del></del>		<del>   </del>				<del></del>
	in outboard motor	1					1 1	I		_		i i				i
- 1	maintenance on board?	! ! ! !					1 1									->;
<del>- +</del>	Despite other existing	1 1					+ +					<del></del>				
1 1		1 1			_		i i					- i				1
4	shortages, can the ship				3		i i			2		1 1		1		i
i	attain a resource-specific	i					1 1									1
į	rating no worse than						1					1 1				i
	in the ELT mission area?						<u>i i</u>					1 1				

#### ELT/TRAINING

EL	T/TRAINING																			1.1
		141	<	NO	1	YES	>	<u>  3  </u>	<u> </u>	NO	1	YES	<u> </u>	2:	<	NO	<u>i</u>	YES	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
1	At least of the following exercises were successfully completed within the specified timeframe:  SEMI-ANNUALLY  1. CG-2-M (LE),Stop a Vsl 2. CG-15/16-M (LE), LE Boarding 3. CG-3-M (LE), Asylum request 4. Fisheries boarding				1						2						3			
2	Following an ELT training resource-specific area degradation because of failure of an operational portion of a district inspection, facility manager inspection, or refresher training; has the unit successfully completed exercises to justify a higher rating?	1			1						2						3			

#### ELT/SUPPLY

		14	. <	NO	) [	YES	>	13	<	NO	T	YES	5 >	12	<	NO	T	YES	>	11
1	Are necessary spare parts onboard to conduct most emergency repairs on small										•								>	
	boat, motor and launching equipment?	1	1																	1 1
2	Is at least				6	5		1			8	0					90			
3	Is at least \$ of .50 cal and 20mm machine gun				. 6	<del></del> 5		-			8	0					90			
- 1	ordnance tools and test equipment on board?																,,,			
4	Is sufficient .50 cal or 20mm ball ammunition for	! !																	>	
	warning/disabling shots on board?	1																		1 1
5	Is the service allowance of small arms ammunition																		>	+ 1
6	on board? Despite parts related													+ 1						
	CASREPS, can the snip perform in the ELT mission?				•														>	

S	AR/EQUIPMENT																					
	· ·	4	<	N	0 - 1	7	(ES	_>_	131	<	NO		YES	3 >	12	<	NO		ΥE	\$ >		1
1	Is the VHF-FM DF operable								$\Box$					-							- 1	ļ
- 1	and calibrated?								1 1						1	L					<u>_                                    </u>	_
2	Is the HF DF calibrated								П						1						$\neg$	7
i	and operable?								1 1						1	!		•			}	ł
3 1	Is the AN/SPS-64 surface					_			1						T	1					7	$\neg$
-	radar operable?								1 1			• .									>	1
4	Is at least one night								İ						1	T					$\neg$	Ţ
	vision device operable?								1						1	1					- 1	1
5	Can the ship illuminate														1	T					1	$\neg$
	surface vessels at night by								i i						1	1					- !	- 1
i	multi-directional search-								1 1						İ	1					1	İ
į	light?								i i						i	1					- 1	1
8	Is the unit's RHIB								Ħ						Ť	T					Ť	7
١.	launchable, completely								1												·> [	i
1	outfitted and fully		•						1 1						1	1						i
1	operational?		!						1 1						ij	į.					- 1	ij
7-1	Does the unit have at least					_			1						<del>-i</del> -	†	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				$\overline{}$	寸
1 1	portable hand-held	,	l						1 1						į	į					į	ij
1	radios (fully operable)	1 1							1 1			٥.									s i	- [
1	onboard?								1 1	1					1	!		_				- į
<del>-  </del>					_				1 1						+-	<del>+-</del> -					<del>- +</del>	+
0 1			ı						1 1	l T		1			1	i		2			- i	i
<del></del>	<pre>pump(s) available? Is the PE-250/P-250 pump</pre>			_					┿┤	<del> </del>					+	+					<del>- +</del>	ᅷ
9		1 1	1						1 1	i I		•			1	1					1	1
	operable?	-							╁╌						<del></del>	_					<del>-/</del> +	<del>-</del>
10	Can at least one fully								j i	i					1	1					1	1
į	outfitted at-sea rescue and		1						1 1	i					ŧ	i					, i	i
į	assistance party be								į į			•.									• > j	i
	provided?								<u> </u>	<u> </u>					<u> </u>	<del>-</del> -						<del>_</del> i
11	Is the ship equipped to tow		ı						1 1	i		_			i	i					i	į
	vessels less than 100 gross											•.									-> }	í
1	tons?														<u> </u>	<u> </u>					_	
12	Is at least s of														- {	1					į	- 1
1	emergency first aid,	1	!									_			- }	1					- 1	i
1	medical supplies and	1			-	70						80	)		1			9	0		-	1
1	equipment onboard?														<u> </u>	1					- 1	1
131	Despite other equipment								1 1						1	-					-1	Ī
1	degradations, can the ship	ł j	1						1	1					- 1	}					- 1	ŧ
į	attain a resource-specific				3	3			1 1	i		2			- {	1		1			1	1
į	rating no worse than	1	1						}	ŀ					1	1					l l	1
į	in the SAR mission area?	1	ì						1	l I					;	1					i	1

FIGURE 3-21 PAGE 1

#### SAR/PERSONNEL

the aggregate, are at st% of mission ential personnel on		< NO	YES >	13	< NO	YES >	2	< NO	YES >	
st% of mission ential personnel on	1 1						1 1			+ '
ential personnel on				1 1				i		i
	1 1	•	70	1 1		80		!	90	- 1
rd or available?	1 1			1 1		•	1 1	1	, ,	1
sed on PAL)	1 1			1 1			1 1			-
all boat crew members	1		··	1 1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	+			<del></del>
pleted unit PQS	1 1			i i			1 1			1
	1 1			1 1			1 1			1
all personnel				† †			+-!		-	+-
eleted unit at-sea	1 1			i i		<b>*</b>				.
	1 1			1 1			1 1	!	,	1
	1			1 1				ļ		i
the towing rig captain				11			+ 1			<del></del>
leted unit PQS	1 1			1 1		•				1
dands?	1			1 1			1 1		/	1
here a graduate				1			+ +		<del></del>	1
undamental Search and	1 1			j j			1 1		•	1
ue course on board?	1			ii			1 1		-	1
here at least one				† †			+ +		······································	+
				1 1		<b>.</b>	1 (			. 1
	1 -						1 1		/	,
ite other existing			<del></del>	++			+ +			+
tages, can the ship	1	:	₹	1 1		2	1- 1		•	1
		-	-	1 1		<u> </u>	1 1		1	İ
				1 1			1 1			1
				1 1			1 1			i
	pleted unit PQS indards?  all personnel cleted unit at-sea cue and assistance standards?  the towing rig captain cleted unit PQS idards? There a graduate undamental Search and ue course on board? here at least one gency medical technican coard? ite other existing tages, can the ship in a resource-specific ng no worse than he SAF mission area?	ndards?  all personnel cleted unit at-sea cle and assistance standards? the towing rig captain cleted unit PQS dards? here a graduate undamental Search and ue course on board? here at least one gency medical technican card? ite other existing tages, can the ship in a resource-specific ng no worse than	ndards?  all personnel cleted unit at-sea cue and assistance standards?  the towing rig captain cleted unit PQS cleted unit at-sea cleted unit PQS cleted	ndards?  all personnel  cleted unit at-sea  de and assistance  standards?  the towing rig captain  cleted unit PQS  dards?  here a graduate  undamental Search and  ue course on board?  here at least one gency medical technican  card?  ite other existing tages, can the ship in a resource-specific  ng no worse than	ndards?  all personnel  cleted unit at-sea  cle and assistance  standards?  the towing rig captain  cleted unit FQS  dards?  here a graduate  undamental Search and  ue course on board?  here at least one gency medical technican  card?  ite other existing tages, can the ship in a resource-specific  ng no worse than	ndards?  all personnel  cleted unit at-sea  de and assistance  standards?  the towing rig captain  cleted unit PQS  dards?  here a graduate  undamental Search and  ue course on board?  here at least one gency medical technican  card?  ite other existing tages, can the ship in a resource-specific  ng no worse than	ndards?  all personnel  cleted unit at-sea  de and assistance  standards?  the towing rig captain  cleted unit PQS  dards?  here a graduate  undamental Search and  ue course on board?  here at least one gency medical technican  card?  ite other existing tages, can the ship in a resource-specific  ng no worse than	ndards?  all personnel  cleted unit at-sea  de and assistance  standards?  the towing rig captain  cleted unit PQS  dards?  here a graduate  undamental Search and  ue course on board?  here at least one gency medical technican  card?  ite other existing tages, can the ship in a resource-specific  ng no worse than	mdards?  all personnel  cleted unit at-sea  cue and assistance  standards?  the towing rig captain  cleted unit PQS  dards?  here a graduate  undamental Search and  ue course on board?  here at least one gency medical technican  card?  ite other existing tages, can the ship in a resource-specific  ng no worse than	ndards?  a all personnel  cleted unit at-sea  cue and assistance  standards?  the towing rig captain  cleted unit PQS  dards?  here a graduate  undamental Search and  ue course on board?  here at least one gency medical technican card?  ite other existing tages, can the ship in a resource-specific  ng no worse than

#### SAR/TRAINING

SI	IN/TRAINING						_			_					
		14	< NC		YES	>	3	<	NO	} }	(ES >	2:	< NO	YES	> ; 1
1	At least of the	1										$\Box$			
	following exercises were	!	ļ				1					1 1			1
	successfully completed	!	l 	3						4		1 1		5.	1
	within the specified	!										1 1			1
	timeframe:														
	SEMI-ANNUALLY	1													
	1. CG-2-SAR, Sar CSC 2. CG-3-SAR, Sar Planning 3. CG-4-SAR, Execution 4. CG-6-D (AT SEA), R&A 5. CG-6-S, Towing						1								1 1 1 1 2
	QUARTERLY														į
	<pre>6. FIRST AID EXERCISES     Z-43 thru 47D,     (CG-1-M(K))</pre>		1 1 2 8 8 8												1
2	Following an SAR training resource-specific area	-					-					1			
	degradation because of	į			_		į	i		4		1	1	_	1
	failure of an operational	ì	i		5		i	i		4		1	j (	כ	1
	portion of a district	1	i				1	1					1		1
	inspection, facility	1	1				1						) I		}
	manager inspection, or	i	i 3				1	l L				i i	l I		
	refresher training; has the		i i				i	i I				1 .	1		1
	unit successfully completed	1					1	i				1	l I		!
	exercises to justify a	1	1				1	i I				1	! 		;
	higher rating?	j	i				i						<u> </u>		

#### SAR/SUPPLY

	141	<	NO	T	YES	>	131	<	NO	-	YES	>	121	<u> </u>	NΟ	I	YES	>	11
Are necessary spare parts onboard to conduct most emergency repairs on small boat, motor and launching equipment?										٠.								>	
<pre>Despite parts related CASREPS, can the ship perform in the SAR mission?</pre>	1 1																	>	>

#### NCO/EQUIPMENT

	141 <	NO YES >	131	< NO YES >	2	< NO YES >	11
1 What is the rating for ELT	1 1		1				1 1
equipment?		3	1.1	2	1 1	1	1
2   Despite other equipment			1 1		$\top$		
degradations, can the ship		3	1 1	2	1 1	1	1 1
attain a resource-specific	1 1				1 1		1 1
rating no worse than ir	1   1		1 1		1 1		1 1
the NCO mission area?	1 1		1 1		1 1		- } - {

#### NCO/PERSONNEL

	141 < N	O YES >	13	< NO YES >	2	< NO   YES >	11
1. What is the ELT rating?		3	7	2		1	+
2   In the aggregate, are at			T		<del>-</del>		i-
least % of mission	1 1		1		1 1		į
essential personnel on		70	1	80	į	90	- !
board or available?			1			,,,	-
(Based on WPAL)	1 1		1		1		1
3 Despite other existing					+-		+
shortages, can the ship	1 1	3	1	2	;	1	į
attain a resource-specific		-	1	_	i	'	i
rating no worse than	ii		1				i i
in the NCC mission area?			1 1		1		- 1

#### MCO/TRAINING

N	COTRAINING	14	<	NO	Τ.	YES >	131	<	NO	T	YES >	12	<	NO	1	YES	>	Τ1
1	What is the ELT training				_					_		T			_			
!	rating?				3_		1			2_		<u>i</u>	<u> </u>		1_			<u> </u>
2	At least of the		1				1 1						1					i
ì	following exercises were	1	ł										1					1
1	successfully completed	ļ	Ì		1					2		- 1	ļ.		3			ļ
1	within the specified		ł									ļ	1					ŀ
1	timeframe:	-	!				1 1					ļ						-
,	QUATERLY	 	i ! !				1 1					1						1
j 1	i 1. MLE reporting	1										į	i i					1
Ì	2. Intel Plotting	}	1				1 3					1	}					1
i	3. Intel Collection and	!	1				1 1					ļ	1			•		1
	Reporting	1	!				! !	 				1	}					-
3	Following an NCC training						+ 1					+	<del>!</del>			<del></del>		+
- 1	resource-specific area	-	1				1 1						1					ŀ
	degradation because of	1	1				1 1					1	I I					ţ
	failure of an operational	- {	1		1		1	l ì		2		1	1		3			1
į	portion of a district	1	!				1 1					1	ŀ					!
	inspection, facility	i L	1					1				- }	l l					1
1	manager inspection, or	1	ł				1 1	}				- 1	1					1
	refresher training; has the	<u> </u>	l I					<u> </u>				1	}					1
	unit successfully completed	1	1				1 1	1				- 1	-					1
	exercises to justify a		i I				1	i I				ł i	1					1
	higher rating?	ŀ	:					1				1	1					1

#### NCO/SUPPLY

·	141 <	NO.	YES	> 3	< N	C YE	S > 2	< NO	YES	> 11
1 What is the rating for ELT					1			1		
supply?		3	3	1	1	2	;	1	1	
2   Despite parts related								I		
CASREPS, can the ship	1 1	•							<b>-</b>	->
perform in the NCO mission?	1 1			1	i		1	1		1

